

QFT-I problem set 3

(Fawad Hassan)

Deadline: Wednesday, Feb 01, 2012

- Starting from the Yang-Mills Lagrangian for the $SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$ gauge fields W_i^μ and B^μ , work out the Lagrangian for the physical fields $A^\mu, Z^\mu, W^\mu, W^{\dagger\mu}$, including the interaction terms.
 - Starting from $D_\mu \Phi^\dagger D^\mu \Phi$, where Φ is the Higgs doublet in the electroweak theory, show that after spontaneous symmetry breaking, the gauge fields W, W^\dagger, Z become massive while the photon field A remains massless.
 - Derive the Feynman rules for the vertices of the type $WW^\dagger AA, WW^\dagger A$ and $WW^\dagger AZ$.
- Consider the elastic electron-neutrino scattering processes, $\nu_\mu + e^- \rightarrow \nu_\mu + e^-$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu + e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu + e^-$ in electroweak theory. Write down the expressions for the Feynmann amplitudes.
- Starting from the generalized Higgs-neutrino coupling term (see Mandl and Shaw for details on the conventions),

$$-G_{l'l} \bar{\Psi}_{l'}^L(x) \psi_{\nu_l}^R(x) \tilde{\Phi}(x) - G_{l'l}^* \tilde{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \bar{\psi}_{\nu_l}^R(x) \Psi_{l'}^L(x) \quad (A)$$

show that in the unitary gauge, it reduces to

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_j \lambda_j \bar{\psi}_j(x) \psi_j(x) [v + \sigma(x)]$$

where $\psi_j = \sum_l U_{jl} \psi_{\nu_l}$ and U is the unitary matrix that diagonalizes the Hermitian coupling matrix G , *i.e.*, $(UGU^\dagger)_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. Hence, show that the coupling term (A) leads to eigenstate neutrinos ν_j associated with the fields $\psi_j(x)$ with masses

$$m_j = \lambda_j v / \sqrt{2}$$

Draw the Higgs-neutrino (ν_j) interaction vertex and show that it comes with vertex factor $(-i/v)m_j$.

- The Lagrangian for a massive vector field W_μ ,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + m_W^2 W_\mu W^\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_\nu - \partial_\nu W_\mu$$

is not invariant under $U(1)$ gauge transformations, hence a Lorenz gauge cannot be imposed. Show that the condition $\partial_\mu W^\mu = 0$ is, however, implied by the equation of motion. How many degrees of freedom will W_μ have?

- Problem 19.2 (page 448) from Mandl and Shaw (II^{nd} edition).